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#### Abstract

In a recent paper, we established asymptotic formulas for the eigenvalues of the $n \times n$ truncations of certain infinite Hessenberg Toeplitz matrices as $n$ goes to infinity. The symbol of the Toeplitz matrices was of the form $a(t)=t^{-1}(1-t)^{\alpha} f(t)(t \in \mathbb{T})$, where $\alpha$ is a positive real number but not an integer and $f$ is a smooth function in $H^{\infty}$. Thus, $a$ has a single power singularity at the point 1 . In the present work we extend the results to symbols with a finite number of power singularities. To be more precise, we consider symbols of the form $a(t)=t^{-1} f(t) \prod_{k=1}^{K}\left(1-t / t_{k}\right)^{\alpha_{k}}$ $(t \in \mathbb{T})$, where $t_{k}=e^{i \theta_{k}}$, the arguments $\theta_{k}$ are all different, and the exponents $\alpha_{k}$ are positive real numbers but not integers.
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## 1 Introduction and main results

Given a function $a \in L^{1}$ on the unit circle in the complex plane $\mathbb{T}$, we denote by

$$
a_{k}=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} a\left(e^{i \theta}\right) e^{-i k \theta} d \theta / 2 \pi, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

[^0]the $k$ th Fourier coefficient and by $T_{n}(a)$ the $n \times n$ Toeplitz matrix $\left(a_{j-k}\right)_{j, k=1}^{n}$. We are interested in the behavior of the eigenvalues of $T_{n}(a)$ as $n$ goes to infinity. The function $a$ is usually referred to as the symbol or the generating function of the sequence $\left\{T_{n}(a)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$.

For real-valued functions $a$ the matrices $T_{n}(a)$ are all Hermitian and a number of results on the asymptotics of the eigenvalues of $T_{n}(a)$ are available in this case: see, for example, [6], [12], [15], [17], [19], [20], [21], [22], [24], [25], [27], [28]. In this case the eigenvalues mimic in the one or other sense the distribution of the values of the function $a$ at equispaced points on the unit circle.

The picture is less complete for complex-valued symbols. Papers [10], [14], [18] are devoted to the limiting behavior of the eigenvalues of $T_{n}(a)$ if $a$ is a rational function, while papers [1] and [26] embark on the asymptotic eigenvalue distribution in the case of non-smooth symbols. In [23] and [26], it was observed that if $a \in L^{\infty}$ and the essential range $\mathcal{R}(a)$ does not separate the plane, then the eigenvalues of $T_{n}(a)$ approximate $\mathcal{R}(a)$, which resembles the Hermitian case. Many of the results of the papers cited above can also be found in the books [5], [7], [8].

An extreme situation is the one where $a_{k}=0$ for $k \leq-1$. Then, the matrices $T_{n}(a)$ are lower triangular and hence the spectrum $\operatorname{sp} T_{n}(a)$ is just the singleton $\left\{a_{0}\right\}$. Note that $a_{0}$ captures almost no information about the function $a$ itself. The first interesting case beyond this trivial situation is the one where $T_{n}(a)$ has an additional super-diagonal and thus is a Hessenberg Toeplitz matrix. Of course, this happens if and only if $a_{k}=0$ for $k \leq-2$. Such symbols can be analytically continued into the punctured disk $0<|z|<1$, which, as pointed out in [18] and [26], can result in an eigenvalues distribution along points and curves that are very different from the range $\mathcal{R}(a)$. On the other hand, the presence of singularities in the symbol causes the opposite tendency, that is, it somehow forces the eigenvalues to mimic the range [26].

In [4], we considered symbols with a singularity of the type $(1-t)^{\alpha}(t \in \mathbb{T})$ in order to illustrate certain instability phenomena in the eigenvalue distribution. The eigenvalues of the Hessenberg Toeplitz matrices generated by $a(t)=$ $t^{-1}(1-t)^{\alpha}$ were studied in [2]. The recent papers [9] and [16] contain intriguing numerical experiments for individual eigenvalues of Toeplitz matrices whose symbols have a so-called Fisher-Hartwig singularity. These are special symbols that are smooth on $\mathbb{T}$ minus a single point but not smooth on the entire circle $\mathbb{T}$; see [7], [8]. Papers [9] and [16] motivated us to take up the singularity $(1-t)^{\alpha}$ again, and in [3] we established fairly precise results on the eigenvalues of $T_{n}(a)$ in the case where $a(t)=t^{-1}(1-t)^{\alpha} f(t)$ and $f$ satisfies certain smoothness and analyticity requirements. In the present paper, we generalize these results to symbols with several singularities of the power type.

Let $H^{\infty}$ be the usual Hardy space of (boundary values of) bounded analytic functions in the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$. Given $a \in$ $C(\mathbb{T})$, we denote by $\operatorname{wind}_{\lambda}(a)$ the winding number of $a$ about a point $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathcal{R}(a)$ and by $\mathcal{D}(a)$ the set of all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathcal{R}(a)$ for which $\operatorname{wind}_{\lambda}(a) \neq 0$. In this paper we study the eigenvalues of $T_{n}(a)$ for symbols $a(t)=t^{-1} f(t) \prod_{k=1}^{K}\left(1-t / t_{k}\right)^{\alpha_{k}}$ ( $t \in \mathbb{T}$ ), where $f$ is a smooth function subject to additional conditions, the points $t_{k}=e^{i \theta_{k}}$ are all different, and the exponents $\alpha_{k}$ are distinct positive real numbers but not integers. Thus, we require in particular that $\alpha_{k} \neq \alpha_{\ell}$ for $k \neq \ell$. Our approach also works if two or more of the exponents $\alpha_{k}$ coincide, although then a series of technical details emerges. To keep this paper within a reasonable volume, we decided not to embark on the case of coinciding exponents here.

We enumerate the singularity points $t_{k}$ as follows: let $t_{1}$ be such that $\alpha_{1}=\min _{1 \leq k \leq K}\left\{\alpha_{k}\right\}$ and number the remaining $t_{k}$ counterclockwise. Let $\left\{T_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{K}$ be the connected components of $\mathbb{T} \backslash\left\{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{K}\right\}$ and denote by clos $T_{k}$ be the arc $T_{k}$ together with its two endpoints. Let $h(t):=a(t) t$ and $h_{0}$ be its zeroth Fourier coefficient. We assume that $a$ has the following properties.

1. $h \in H^{\infty}$ and $h_{0} \neq 0$.
2. $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{T})$.
3. $h$ can be analytically extended to an open neighborhood $W$ of $\mathbb{T} \backslash\left\{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{K}\right\}$ not containing the set $\left\{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{K}\right\}$.
4. The derivative $a^{\prime}(t)$ does not vanish for $t \in \mathbb{T} \backslash\left\{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{K}\right\}$, each $a\left(\cos T_{k}\right)$ is a Jordan curve which surrounds the points in its interior clockwise, and for $k \neq \ell$, the interiors of the curves $a\left(\cos T_{k}\right)$ and $a\left(\operatorname{clos} T_{\ell}\right)$ are disjoint.

Figure 2 shows two concrete examples of such functions.

If $f$ is identically 1 , that is, if $a(t)=t^{-1} \prod_{k=1}^{K}\left(1-t / t_{k}\right)^{\alpha_{k}}$, then properties 1 to 4 are satisfied if and only if $\sigma:=$ $\sum_{k=1}^{K} \alpha_{k}<2$. To see this, let $t$ revolve the unit circle once counterclockwise starting at $t_{1}$. We have

$$
a(t)=t^{-1}\left(1-t / t_{1}\right)^{\sigma} \prod_{k=2}^{K}\left(\frac{1-t / t_{k}}{1-t / t_{1}}\right)^{\alpha_{k}} .
$$

Taking into account that the argument of $\left(1-t / t_{k}\right) /\left(1-t / t_{1}\right)$ is piecewise constant and that $t^{-1}\left(1-t / t_{1}\right)^{\sigma}$ describes a loop that encircles the points in its interior exactly once clockwise if and only if $\sigma<2$, it is not difficult to see that the range of $a$ is a flower with $K$ non-overlapping petals and that the petals surround their interiors exactly once clockwise if and only if $\sigma<2$.

Let $D_{n}(a)$ denote the determinant of $T_{n}(a)$. Thus, the eigenvalues $\lambda$ of $T_{n}(a)$ are the solutions of the equation $D_{n}(a-\lambda)=0$. Our assumptions imply that $T_{n}(a)$ is a Hessenberg matrix, that is, it arises from a lower triangular matrix by adding the super-diagonal. This circumstance together with the Baxter-Schmidt formula for Toeplitz determinants allows us to express $D_{n}(a-\lambda)$ as a Fourier integral. The value of this integral mainly depends on $\lambda$ and on the singularity of each $\left(1-t / t_{k}\right)^{\alpha_{k}}$ at the point $t_{k}$. Let $W_{0}$ be a small open neighborhood of zero in $\mathbb{C}$. We show that for every point $\lambda \in \mathcal{D}(a) \cap\left(a(W) \backslash W_{0}\right)$ there is a unique point $t_{\lambda} \notin \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ such that $a\left(t_{\lambda}\right)=\lambda$. After exploring the contributions of $\lambda$ and the singular points $t_{k}$ to the Fourier integral, we get the following asymptotic expansion for $D_{n}(a-\lambda)$.
Theorem 1.1. Let $a(t)=t^{-1} h(t)$ be a symbol with properties 1 to 4. Then, for every small open neighborhood $W_{0}$ of zero in $\mathbb{C}$, every $\lambda \in \mathcal{D}(a) \cap\left(a(W) \backslash W_{0}\right)$, and every real positive $\mu$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{n}(a-\lambda)=\left(-h_{0}\right)^{n+1}\left(\frac{1}{t_{\lambda}^{n+2} a^{\prime}\left(t_{\lambda}\right)}-\sum_{(k, \ell, s) \in \mathcal{L}_{\mu}^{\lambda^{s+1}} t_{k}^{n} n^{\alpha_{k} s+\ell+1}} \frac{\left.A_{k}, R_{1}(\lambda, n)\right), ~, ~, ~}{}\right. \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{\mu}$ is the collection of all the triples $(k, \ell, s)$ such that $k \in\{1, \ldots, K\}, \ell \in\{0,1, \ldots\}, s \in\{1,2, \ldots\}$, and $\alpha_{k} s+\ell+$ $1<\mu$;

$$
A_{k, \ell, s}=\frac{\sin \left(\alpha_{k} \pi s\right) \Gamma\left(\alpha_{k} s+\ell+1\right)}{i^{\ell} \pi t_{k}^{s+1} \ell!}\left[\frac{f^{s}\left(t_{k} e^{i \theta}\right) g^{\alpha_{k} s}(\theta) \prod_{j \neq k}\left(1-e^{i \theta} t_{k} / t_{j}\right)^{\alpha_{j} s}}{e^{i \theta(s+1)}}\right]_{\theta=0}^{(\ell)},
$$

$g(\theta)=\left(e^{i \theta}-1\right) /(i \theta)$, and $R_{1}(\lambda, n)=O\left(1 / n^{\mu}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly with respect to $\lambda \in a(W) \backslash W_{0}$.
Of course, in Theorem 1.1 the superscript $(\ell)$ means "take $\ell$ derivatives with respect to $\theta$ " and the subscript $\theta=0$ means "evaluate in $\theta=0$ ".

The order of the sum in (1.1) is $1 / n^{\alpha_{1}+1}$. Thus, among the singularities of the symbol $a$, the factor $\left(1-t / t_{1}\right)^{\alpha_{1}}$ makes the biggest contribution to $D_{n}(a-\lambda)$. Changing to the variable $t / t_{1}$ in $a$, we can obtain a new symbol $\tilde{a}$ in which the first singularity point will be 1 . Moreover, $\operatorname{sp} T_{n}(a)=\operatorname{sp} T_{n}(\tilde{a})$; see [18] or [5, Section 11.1] for details. In order to simplify some of our forthcoming results, we henceforth assume without loss of generality that $t_{1}=1$.

Let $\omega_{n}:=\exp (-2 \pi i / n)$ and $\mathcal{I}_{n}:=\left\{j \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}: a\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right) \notin W_{0}\right\}$, also let $\gamma:=\min _{1 \leq k \leq K}\left\{\alpha_{k}: \alpha_{k}>\alpha_{1}\right\}$ and $\zeta:=\min \left\{1, \alpha_{1}, \gamma-\alpha_{1}\right\}$. As $\mu$ is any real positive number, we can develop (1.1) with an arbitrary error bound, but to make our calculations reasonable and readable, we use Theorem 1.1 with $\mu=2 \zeta+\alpha_{1}+1$ to obtain the following two results.
Theorem 1.2. Let $a(t)=t^{-1} h(t)$ be a symbol with properties 1 to 4. Then, for every small open neighborhood $W_{0}$ of the origin in $\mathbb{C}$ and every $j \in \mathcal{I}_{n}$, the equation $D_{n}(a-\lambda)=0$ has a solution $\lambda=\lambda_{j, n}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
t_{\lambda_{j, n}}= & \omega_{n}^{j} n^{\left(\alpha_{1}+1\right) / n}\left(1+\sum_{m=1}^{[1+2 \zeta]} \log ^{m}\left(\frac{a^{2}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right)}{A_{1,0,1} \omega_{n}^{2 j} a^{\prime}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right)}\right) \frac{1}{m!n^{m}}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{A_{1,0,1}} \sum_{(k, \ell, s) \in \mathcal{K}} \frac{A_{k} t_{k}^{n} a^{s-1}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right) n^{\alpha_{k} s+\ell-\alpha_{1}+1}}{}+R_{2}(j, n)\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathcal{K}$ is the collection of all triples $(k, \ell, s) \neq(1,0,1)$ such that $k \in\{1, \ldots, K\}, \ell \in\{0,1, \ldots\}, s \in\{1,2, \ldots\}$, and $\alpha_{k} s+\ell<2 \zeta+\alpha_{1}$. The remainder satisfies

$$
R_{2}(j, n)=O\left(1 / n^{2 \zeta+1}\right)+O\left(\log n / n^{2}\right)
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly in $j \in \mathcal{I}_{n}$.
The terms $\log ^{m}(\cdot) /\left(m!n^{m}\right)$ are large when $\omega_{n}^{j}$ is close to one of the singularity points $t_{j}$ and are small when $\omega_{n}^{j}$ is far from all the $t_{j}$ 's. Thus, these terms correct the behavior of the eigenvalues close to each singularity point.
Theorem 1.3. Let $a(t)=t^{-1} h(t)$ be a symbol with properties 1 to 4 . Then, for every small neighborhood $W_{0}$ of zero in $\mathbb{C}$ and every $j \in \mathcal{I}_{n}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{j, n}= & a\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right)+\left(\alpha_{1}+1\right) \omega_{n}^{j} a^{\prime}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right) \frac{\log n}{n} \\
& +\omega_{n}^{j} a^{\prime}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right) \sum_{m=1}^{[1+2 \zeta]} \log ^{m}\left(\frac{a^{2}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right)}{A_{1,0,1} \omega_{n}^{2 j} a^{\prime}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right)}\right) \frac{1}{m!n^{m}} \\
& -\frac{\omega_{n}^{j} a^{\prime}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right)}{A_{1,0,1}} \sum_{(k, \ell, s) \in \mathcal{K}} \frac{A_{k, \ell, s}}{t_{k}^{n} a^{s-1}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right) n^{\alpha_{k} s+\ell-\alpha_{1}+1}}+R_{3}(j, n), \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\zeta$ and $\mathcal{K}$ are as in Theorem 1.2 and

$$
R_{3}(j, n)=O\left(1 / n^{2 \zeta+1}\right)+O\left(\log ^{2} n / n^{2}\right)
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly in $j \in \mathcal{I}_{n}$.
Figures 1 and 2 illustrate Theorem 1.3.


Figure 1. The picture shows a piece of $\mathcal{R}(a)$ for the symbol $a(t)=t^{-1}(1-t)^{0.3}\left(1-t / e^{2 i}\right)^{0.4}\left(1-t / e^{4 i}\right)^{0.5}$ (solid blue line) located far from zero. The black dots are $\operatorname{sp} T_{4096}(a)$ calculated by Matlab. The red pluses, blue crosses, and green stars are the approximations obtained by using 2,3 , and 4 terms of (1.3), respectively.


Figure 2. The black dots and the green stars, are the spectrum of $T_{128}(a)$ calculated with Matlab and formula (1.3) with 4 terms, respectively.

## 2 Toeplitz determinant

Consider the function $b^{(\lambda)}(t):=1 /(h(t)-\lambda t)$ where $\lambda \in \mathcal{D}(a)$ and $t \in \mathbb{T}$.
Lemma 2.1. Let $a(t)=t^{-1} h(t)$ be a symbol with property 1. Then, for each $\lambda \in \mathcal{D}(a)$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{n}(a-\lambda)=(-1)^{n} h_{0}^{n+1} b_{n}^{(\lambda)}, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b_{n}^{(\lambda)}$ stands for the nth Fourier coefficient of $b^{(\lambda)}$ and $h_{0}$ for the zeroth Fourier coefficient of $h$.
Proof. The Baxter-Schmidt formula, which can for example be found in [5, p.37], says that if $n, r \geq 1$ are integers and $f$ is a function which is analytic and non-zero in some neighborhood of the origin, then

$$
f_{0}^{-r} D_{n}\left(t^{-r} f\right)=(-1)^{r n}[1 / f]_{0}^{-n} D_{r}\left(t^{-n} / f\right),
$$

where [ $]_{n}$ denotes the $n$th Fourier coefficient. Because of property 1 , the function $f(t):=h(t)-\lambda t$ satisfies the hypothesis of the Baxter-Schmidt formula. Finally, taking $r=1$ we easily obtain the lemma.

With the aid of expression (2.1) we will calculate the Toeplitz determinant $D_{n}(a-\lambda)$ as a Fourier integral. As in the one singularity case [3], this is our starting point to find an asymptotic expansion for the eigenvalues of $T_{n}(a)$. The major contributions to this integral comes from $\lambda$ when $\lambda$ is close to $\mathcal{R}(a)$ and from the singularity points $t_{k}$. We analyze them in separate sections.

## 3 Contribution of $\lambda$ to the asymptotic behavior of $D_{n}$

Recall that

$$
b_{n}^{(\lambda)}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} b^{(\lambda)}\left(e^{i \theta}\right) e^{-i n \theta} d \theta
$$

is the $n$th Fourier coefficient of the function $b^{(\lambda)}$.
Lemma 3.1. Let $a(t)=t^{-1} h(t)$ be a symbol satisfying properties 1, 3, and 4. Let $W_{0}$ be a small open neighborhood of zero in $\mathbb{C}$. Then, for each $\lambda \in \mathcal{D}(a) \backslash W_{0}$ sufficiently close to $\mathcal{R}(a)$, there is a unique point $t_{\lambda}$ in $W \backslash \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ such that $a\left(t_{\lambda}\right)=\lambda$. Moreover, the point $t_{\lambda}$ is a simple pole for $b^{(\lambda)}$.

Proof. Enumerate the collection $\left\{T_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{K}$ in the following way: for $1 \leq k<K$ let $T_{k}$ be such that $t_{k}$ and $t_{k+1}$ are its extreme points, and let $T_{K}$ be such that $t_{K}$ and $t_{1}=1$ are its extreme points. The symbol $a$ maps each arch $T_{k}$ to a different petal $P_{k}:=a\left(T_{k}\right)$ in $\mathcal{R}(a)$; see Figure 3. As $h$ belongs to $H^{\infty}$ and can be analytically extended to $W$, the map $h$ can be thought of as a bounded and analytic function in $\mathbb{D} \cup W$. Since $h_{0}=h(0) \neq 0$, the function $z^{-1} h(z)=a(z)$ is unbounded in $\mathbb{D}$. Thus, the map $a$ must send $\mathbb{D} \backslash\{0\}$ to the exterior of $\mathcal{R}(a)$, that is, the unbounded connected component of $\mathbb{C} \backslash \mathcal{R}(a)$, and it must accordingly send $W \backslash \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ to $\mathcal{D}(a) \cap a(W)$.

By property $4, a^{\prime}(t) \neq 0$ for every $t \in T_{k}$. Take $S=\left\{t \in T_{k}: a(t) \notin W_{0}\right\}$. As $a^{\prime}$ is also analytic in $W$, for each $t \in S$ there is an open neighborhood $V_{t}^{(k)} \subset W$ of $t$ such that $a^{\prime}(t) \neq 0$ for every $t \in V_{t}^{(k)}$. Then, there is an open neighborhood $U_{t}^{(k)} \subset V_{t}^{(k)}$ of $t$ such that $a$ is a conformal map (and hence bijective) from $U_{t}^{(k)}$ to $a\left(U_{t}^{(k)}\right)$. As each $S$ is compact, we can take a finite sub-cover from $\left\{U_{t}^{(k)}\right\}_{t \in S}$, say $U^{(k)}:=\bigcup_{s=1}^{N_{k}} U_{t_{s}}^{(k)}$. It follows that $a$ is a conformal map (and hence bijective) from $U^{(k)} \supset S^{(k)}$ to $a\left(U^{(k)}\right) \supset a\left(S^{(k)}\right)$.

Let $U:=\bigcup_{k=1}^{K} U^{(k)}$. The lemma holds for every $\lambda \in a(U) \cap\left(\mathcal{D}(a) \backslash W_{0}\right)$. Finally, since $a^{\prime}\left(t_{\lambda}\right) \neq 0$, the point $t_{\lambda}$ must be a simple pole of $b^{(\lambda)}$.


Figure 3. A typical range for the map $a$ with 3 singularities over the unit circle.

Lemma 3.1 allows us to write

$$
\begin{equation*}
b^{(\lambda)}(z)=\frac{1}{t_{\lambda} a^{\prime}\left(t_{\lambda}\right)\left(z-t_{\lambda}\right)}+\hat{b}^{(\lambda)}(z) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{b}^{(\lambda)}$ is analytic with respect to $z$ in $W$ and uniformly bounded with respect to $\lambda$ in $a(W) \backslash W_{0}$. Taking Fourier coefficients and writing $\hat{b}^{(\lambda)}(\theta)$ instead of $\hat{b}^{(\lambda)}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$, we easily obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{n}^{(\lambda)}=\frac{-1}{t_{\lambda}^{n+2} a^{\prime}\left(t_{\lambda}\right)}+I \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
I:=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \hat{b}^{(\lambda)}(\theta) e^{-i n \theta} d \theta
$$

The first term in (3.2) times $(-1)^{n} h_{0}^{n+1}$ is the contribution of $t_{\lambda}$ to the asymptotic expansion of $D_{n}(a-\lambda)$; see (2.1). The function $\hat{b}^{(\lambda)}$ has singularities at each $\theta_{k}$, and we use this fact to expand $I$ in the following Section.

## 4 Contribution of $t_{k}$ to the asymptotic behavior of $D_{n}$

We start this Section by constructing a particular partition of the unity. Let $\delta$ be a small number satisfying $0<\delta<$ $\min _{j \neq k}\left\{\left|\theta_{j}-\theta_{k}\right|\right\} / 2$ and take a function $\Phi_{0} \in C^{\infty}[-\pi, \pi]$ which is supported in $(-\delta / 2, \delta / 2)$ and is identically 1 in $(-\delta / 4, \delta / 4)$. We may also suppose that $\mathcal{R}\left(\Phi_{0}\right)=[0,1]$.

For each $x \in[-\pi, \pi]$, let $\Phi_{x}(\theta):=\Phi_{0}(\theta-x)$. The collection

$$
\mathcal{P}:=\left\{\Phi_{\theta_{1}}, \ldots, \Phi_{\theta_{K}}, \Phi^{*}\right\}
$$

with $\Phi^{*}(\theta):=1-\sum_{k=1}^{K} \Phi_{\theta_{k}}(\theta)$, is a partition of the unity for the interval $[-\pi, \pi]$. By pasting segments $[-\pi, \pi]$ in both directions, we continue this partition $\mathcal{P}$ to the entire real line $\mathbb{R}$.

We will use the following well known asymptotic results, which are, for example, in [11, p. 47] and [13, p. 97], respectively.

Theorem 4.1. If $\alpha<\beta, v \in C^{K}[\alpha, \beta]$, and $v^{(s)}(\alpha)=v^{(s)}(\beta)=0$ for $0 \leq s \leq K$, then

$$
\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} v(\theta) e^{-i n \theta} d \theta=\frac{1}{(i n)^{K}} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} v^{(K)}(\theta) e^{-i n \theta} d \theta=o\left(1 / n^{K}\right) \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Theorem 4.2. Let $\beta>0, \delta>0, v \in C^{\infty}[0, \delta]$, and $v^{(s)}(\delta)=0$ for all $s \geq 0$. Then, for every $K \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\int_{0}^{\delta} \theta^{\beta-1} v(\theta) e^{i n \theta} d \theta=\sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \frac{v^{(k)}(0) \Gamma(\beta+k) i^{\beta+k}}{k!n^{\beta+k}}+R_{K, v}(n)
$$

where $\left|R_{K, v}(n)\right| \leq C_{K, v} / n^{\beta+K}$, the branch of the power $\beta+k$ is the one corresponding to the argument in $(-\pi, \pi]$, and $\Gamma(z)$ is Euler's Gamma function. If $v$ depends on a parameter and the $L^{\infty}$ norms of the derivatives $v^{(s)}$ for $0 \leq s \leq K$ have bounds that do not depend on the parameter, then one can take a single constant $C_{K, v}$ for all parameters.
Lemma 4.3. For every sufficiently small positive $\delta$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \int_{\theta_{k}-\delta}^{\theta_{k}+\delta} \Phi_{\theta_{k}}(\theta) b^{(\lambda)}(\theta) e^{-i n \theta} d \theta+Q_{1}(\lambda, n), \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q_{1}(\lambda, n)=o\left(1 / n^{\infty}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly with respect to $\lambda$ in $a(W) \backslash W_{0}$.
Proof. Using the partition $\mathcal{P}$, we may write $I=I_{1}+\cdots+I_{K}+I^{*}$ where

$$
I_{k}:=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\theta_{k}-\delta}^{\theta_{k}+\delta} \Phi_{\theta_{k}}(\theta) \hat{b}^{(\lambda)}(\theta) e^{-i n \theta} d \theta
$$

for $k=1, \ldots, K$ and

$$
I^{*}:=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Phi^{*}(\theta) \hat{b}^{(\lambda)}(\theta) e^{-i n \theta} d \theta .
$$

Taking $v(\theta):=\Phi^{*}(\theta) \hat{b}^{(\lambda)}(\theta), \alpha:=\theta_{1}$, and $\beta:=2 \pi+\theta_{1}$ in Theorem 4.1 we easily get $I^{*}=o\left(1 / n^{\infty}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly with respect to $\lambda \in a(W) \backslash W_{0}$.

Using (3.1), we arrive at $I_{k}=I_{k 1}-I_{k 2}$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{k 1}:=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\theta_{k}-\delta}^{\theta_{k}+\delta} \Phi_{\theta_{k}}(\theta) b^{(\lambda)}(\theta) e^{-i n \theta} d \theta \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
I_{k 2}:=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\theta_{k}-\delta}^{\theta_{k}+\delta} \frac{\Phi_{\theta_{k}}(\theta) e^{-i n \theta}}{t_{\lambda} a^{\prime}\left(t_{\lambda}\right)\left(e^{i \theta}-t_{\lambda}\right)} d \theta
$$

Finally, letting $v(\theta):=\Phi_{\theta_{k}}(\theta) /\left(t_{\lambda} a^{\prime}\left(t_{\lambda}\right)\left(e^{i \theta}-t_{\lambda}\right)\right), \alpha:=\theta_{k}-\delta$, and $\beta:=\theta_{k}+\delta$ in Theorem 4.1 we easily obtain $I_{k 2}=$ $o\left(1 / n^{\infty}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly with respect to $\lambda$ in $a(W) \backslash W_{0}$.

Expression (4.1) says that the value of $I$ basically depends on the integrand $b^{(\lambda)}(\theta) e^{-i n \theta}$ at the singularity arguments $\theta_{k}$. As we can take $\delta$ as small as we desire, we may assume that in every integral of the sum of (4.1) the variable $\theta$ is arbitrarily close to $\theta_{k}$. Keeping this idea in mind, we will develop an asymptotic expansion for $b^{(\lambda)}$. For future reference, we rewrite (4.1) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\sum_{k=1}^{K} I_{k 1}+Q_{1}(\lambda, n) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q_{1}(\lambda, n)=o\left(1 / n^{\infty}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly in $\lambda \in a(W) \backslash W_{0}$. Writing $h(\theta)$ instead of $h\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$, we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 4.4. For every $k \in\{1, \ldots, K\}$ and every sufficiently small positive $\delta$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{k 1}=\frac{-1}{2 \pi \lambda} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^{s}} \int_{\theta_{k}-\delta}^{\theta_{k}+\delta} \frac{\Phi_{\theta_{k}}(\theta) h^{s}(\theta) e^{-i n \theta}}{e^{i \theta(s+1)}} d \theta . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Note that

$$
b^{(\lambda)}(\theta)=\frac{1}{h(\theta)-\lambda e^{i \theta}}=\frac{-1}{\lambda e^{i \theta}} \cdot \frac{1}{1-\lambda^{-1} e^{-i \theta} h(\theta)} .
$$

Let $k \in\{1, \ldots, K\}$. As $|h(\theta)| \rightarrow 0$ when $\left|\theta-\theta_{k}\right| \rightarrow 0$, there is a small positive constant $\delta_{k}$ such that $\left|\lambda^{-1} e^{-i \theta} h(\theta)\right|<1$ for every $\left|\theta-\theta_{k}\right|<\delta_{k}$. Let $\delta=\min _{1 \leq k \leq K}\left\{\delta_{k}\right\}$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
b^{(\lambda)}(\theta)=\frac{-1}{\lambda e^{i \theta}} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty}\left(\lambda^{-1} e^{-i \theta} h(\theta)\right)^{s}=-\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{h^{s}(\theta)}{\lambda^{s+1} e^{i \theta(s+1)}} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $k \in\{1, \ldots, K\}$ and every $\left|\theta-\theta_{k}\right|<\delta$. Finally, inserting (4.5) in (4.2) finishes the proof.
We will use the notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{k 1 s}:=\frac{-1}{2 \pi \lambda^{s+1}} \int_{\theta_{k}-\delta}^{\theta_{k}+\delta} \frac{\Phi_{\theta_{k}}(\theta) h^{s}(\theta) e^{-i n \theta}}{e^{i \theta(s+1)}} d \theta . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Once more, taking $v(\theta):=-\Phi_{\theta_{k}}(\theta) /\left(2 \pi \lambda e^{i \theta}\right), \alpha:=\theta_{k}-\delta$, and $\beta:=\theta_{k}+\delta$ in Theorem 4.1 we easily obtain $\left.I_{k 1 s}\right|_{s=0}=$ $o\left(1 / n^{\infty}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly with respect to $\lambda \in a(W) \backslash W_{0}$. With the previous notation, we can rewrite (4.4) as

$$
I_{k 1}=\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} I_{k 1 s}+Q_{2}(k, \lambda, n)
$$

where $Q_{2}(k, \lambda, n)=o\left(1 / n^{\infty}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly with respect to $\lambda \in a(W) \backslash W_{0}$. Now we use Theorem 4.2 to express $I_{k 1 s}$ asymptotically. We recall that $h(t)=f(t) \prod_{k=1}^{K}\left(1-t / t_{k}\right)^{\alpha_{k}}$, where $t_{k}=e^{i \theta_{k}}$, the arguments $\theta_{k}$ are all different, and the exponents $\alpha_{k}$ are positive reals but not integers, with $\alpha_{1}=\min _{1 \leq k \leq K}\left\{\alpha_{k}\right\}$.

Lemma 4.5. Let $f$ be a function with property 2 and $\mu$ be any positive real number. Then, for $k \in\{1, \ldots, K\}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{k 1}=\sum_{(\ell, s) \in\left\llcorner_{\mu}^{\llcorner }\right.} \frac{A_{k, \ell, s}}{\lambda^{s+1} t_{k}^{n} n_{k} s+\ell+1}+Q_{7}(k, \lambda, n) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{\mu}^{*}$ is the collection of all pairs $(\ell, s)$ such that $\ell \in\{0,1, \ldots\}, s \in\{1,2, \ldots\}$, and $\alpha_{k} s+\ell+1<\mu$;

$$
A_{k, \ell, s}=\frac{\sin \left(\alpha_{k} \pi s\right) \Gamma\left(\alpha_{k} s+\ell+1\right)}{i^{\ell} \pi t_{k}^{s+1} \ell!}\left[\frac{f^{s}\left(t_{k} e^{i \theta}\right) g^{\alpha_{k} s}(\theta) \prod_{j \neq k}\left(1-e^{i \theta} t_{k} / t_{j}\right)^{\alpha_{j} s}}{e^{i \theta(s+1)}}\right]_{\theta=0}^{(\ell)}
$$

$g(\theta)=\left(e^{i \theta}-1\right) /(i \theta)$, and $Q_{7}(k, \lambda, n)=O\left(1 / n^{\mu}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly with respect to $\lambda \in a(W) \backslash W_{0}$.
Proof. Changing $\theta$ to $\theta+\theta_{k}$ in (4.6), we obtain

$$
I_{k 1 s}=\frac{-1}{2 \pi \lambda^{s+1}} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \frac{\Phi_{0}(\theta) f^{s}\left(t_{k} e^{i \theta}\right)\left(1-e^{i \theta}\right)^{\alpha_{k} s} \prod_{j \neq k}\left(1-e^{i \theta} t_{k} / t_{j}\right)^{\alpha_{j} s} e^{-i n \theta}}{e^{i \theta(s+1)} t_{k}^{n+s+1}} d \theta
$$

It is easy to verify that $1-e^{i \theta}=-i \theta g(\theta)$, where $g(\theta):=1+i \theta / 2+(i \theta)^{2} / 6+O\left(\theta^{3}\right)$ as $\theta \rightarrow 0$. Thus, we can write $I_{k 1 s}=\int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \theta^{\alpha_{k} s} v(\theta) e^{-i n \theta} d \theta$, where

$$
v(\theta):=\frac{-(-i)^{\alpha_{k} s} \Phi_{0}(\theta) f^{s}\left(t_{k} e^{i \theta}\right) g^{\alpha_{k} s}(\theta) \prod_{j \neq k}\left(1-e^{i \theta} t_{k} / t_{j}\right)^{\alpha_{j} s}}{2 \pi \lambda^{s+1} e^{i \theta(s+1)} t_{k}^{n+s+1}}
$$

the branch of the power $\alpha_{k} s$ being the one corresponding to the argument in $(-\pi, \pi]$. Note that for every sufficiently small positive $\delta$ we have $g \in C^{\infty}[-\delta, \delta]$ and $g(0)=1$. Clearly,

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{k 1 s} & =\int_{-\delta}^{0} \theta^{\alpha_{k}} v(\theta) e^{-i n \theta} d \theta+\int_{0}^{\delta} \theta^{\alpha_{k} s} v(\theta) e^{-i n \theta} d \theta \\
& =\int_{0}^{\delta}(-\theta)^{\alpha_{k} s} v(-\theta) e^{i n \theta} d \theta+\int_{0}^{\delta} \theta^{\alpha_{k} s} v(\theta) e^{-i n \theta} d \theta=I_{k 1 s 1}+I_{k 1 s 2} \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
I_{k 1 s 1}:=(-1)^{\alpha_{k} s} \int_{0}^{\delta} \theta^{\alpha_{k} s} v(-\theta) e^{i n \theta} d \theta, \quad I_{k 1 s 2}:=\int_{0}^{\delta} \theta^{\alpha_{k} s} v(\theta) e^{-i n \theta} d \theta .
$$

Note that $v( \pm \theta) \in C^{\infty}[0, \delta]$ and $v^{(s)}( \pm \delta)=0$ for all $s \geq 0$ because $\Phi_{0} \equiv 0$ in a small neighborhood of $\pm \delta$. Applying Theorem 4.2 to $I_{k 1 s 1}$ and $\overline{I_{k 1 s 2}}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{k 1 s 1}=\sum_{\ell=0}^{L-1} \frac{(-1)^{\alpha_{k} s+\ell} v^{(\ell)}(0) \Gamma\left(\alpha_{k} s+\ell+1\right) i^{\alpha_{k} s+\ell+1}}{n^{\alpha_{k} s+\ell+1} \ell!}+Q_{3}(s, k, L, \lambda, n), \\
& I_{k 1 s 2}=\sum_{\ell=0}^{L-1} \frac{v^{(\ell)}(0) \Gamma\left(\alpha_{k} s+\ell+1\right) i^{-\alpha_{k} s-\ell-1}}{n^{\alpha_{k} s+\ell+1} \ell!}+Q_{4}(s, k, L, \lambda, n), \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

for every $L \in \mathbb{N}$, where $Q_{3}$ and $Q_{4}$ are $O\left(1 / n^{\alpha_{k} s+L+1}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly in $\lambda \in a(W) \backslash W_{0}$. Substitution of (4.9) in (4.8) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{k 1 s}= & \sum_{\ell=0}^{L-1} \frac{v^{(\ell)}(0) \Gamma\left(\alpha_{k} s+\ell+1\right)}{n^{\alpha_{k} s+\ell+1} \ell!}\left(i^{-\alpha_{k} s-\ell-1}+(-1)^{\alpha_{k} s+\ell} i^{\alpha_{k} s+\ell+1}\right) \\
& +Q_{5}(s, k, L, \lambda, n)
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $L \in \mathbb{N}$, where $Q_{5}(s, k, L, \lambda, n)=O\left(1 / n^{\alpha_{k} s+L+1}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly in $\lambda \in a(W) \backslash W_{0}$. At this point, one could be tempted to write

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{k 1}=\sum_{s=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{L-1} \frac{A_{k, \ell, s}}{\lambda^{s+1} t_{k}^{n} n^{\alpha_{k} s+\ell+1}}+Q_{5}(s, k, L, \lambda, n)\right)+Q_{2}(k, \lambda, n) \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty, \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{k, \ell, s}$ equals

$$
\frac{\sin \left(\alpha_{k} \pi s\right) \Gamma\left(\alpha_{k} s+\ell+1\right)}{i^{\ell} \pi t_{k}^{s+1} \ell!}\left[\frac{\Phi_{0}(\theta) f^{s}\left(t_{k} e^{i \theta}\right) g^{\alpha_{k} s}(\theta) \prod_{j \neq k}\left(1-e^{i \theta} t_{k} / t_{j}\right)^{\alpha_{j} s}}{e^{i \theta(s+1)}}\right]_{\theta=0}^{(\ell)}
$$

Note that we can drop the factor $\Phi_{0}(\theta)$ above because $\Phi_{0} \equiv 1$ in a neighborhood of $\theta=0$. However, representation (4.10) does not permit us to get an appropriate bound for the remainder of $I_{k 1}$. We therefore tackle the problem as follows. First notice that

$$
\begin{aligned}
h\left(\theta+\theta_{k}\right) & =f\left(\theta+\theta_{k}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{K}\left(1-e^{i \theta} t_{k} / t_{j}\right)^{\alpha_{j}} \\
& =\left(1-e^{i \theta}\right)^{\alpha_{k}} f\left(\theta+\theta_{k}\right) \prod_{j \neq k}\left(1-e^{i \theta} t_{k} / t_{j}\right)^{\alpha_{j}}=O\left(\theta^{\alpha_{k}}\right) \text { as } \theta \rightarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, from (4.5) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
b^{(\lambda)}\left(\theta+\theta_{k}\right)=-\sum_{s=0}^{S-1} \frac{h^{s}\left(\theta+\theta_{k}\right)}{\lambda^{s+1} e^{i\left(\theta+\theta_{k}\right)(s+1)}}+f_{k, S}^{(\lambda)}(\theta) \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $S \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $k \in\{1, \ldots, K\}$. Here $f_{k, S}^{(\lambda)}(\theta)=O\left(\theta^{\alpha_{k} S}\right)$ as $\theta \rightarrow 0$, uniformly in $\lambda \in a(W) \backslash W_{0}$. Inserting (4.11) in (4.2) and (4.3) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{k 1}= & \sum_{s=1}^{S-1} I_{k 1 s}+\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \Phi_{0}(\theta) f_{k, S}^{(\lambda)}(\theta) e^{-i n \theta} d \theta+Q_{2}(k, \lambda, n) \\
= & \sum_{s=1}^{S-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{L-1} \frac{A_{k, \ell, s}}{\lambda^{s+1} t_{k}^{n} n^{\alpha_{k} s+\ell+1}}+\sum_{s=1}^{S-1} Q_{5}(s, k, L, \lambda, n) \\
& +\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \Phi_{0}(\theta) f_{k, S}^{(\lambda)}(\theta) e^{-i n \theta} d \theta+Q_{2}(k, \lambda, n) \tag{4.12}
\end{align*}
$$

for every $L, S \in \mathbb{N}$. The function $\Phi_{0}(\theta) f_{k, S}^{(\lambda)}(\theta)$ belongs to $C^{\left[\alpha_{k} S\right]}[-\delta, \delta]$ and thus by Theorem 4.1, the integral on the right side of (4.12) is $o\left(1 / n^{\left[\alpha_{k} S\right]}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly in $\lambda \in a(W) \backslash W_{0}$.

Fix $S \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left[\alpha_{1} S\right]>\mu$. Then, the integral on the right side of (4.12) is $o\left(1 / n^{\mu}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly in $\lambda \in a(W) \backslash W_{0}$ for every $k \in\{1, \ldots, K\}$.

Now fix $L \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\alpha_{1}+L+1>\mu$. Thus, $Q_{5}(s, k, L, \lambda, n)=O\left(1 / n^{\mu}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly in $\lambda \in a(W) \backslash W_{0}$ for every $k \in\{1, \ldots, K\}$. Therefore, the finite $\operatorname{sum} \sum_{s=1}^{S-1} Q_{5}(s, k, L, \lambda, n)$ is $O\left(1 / n^{\mu}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly in $\lambda \in a(W) \backslash W_{0}$ for every $k \in\{1, \ldots, K\}$.

In summary,

$$
I_{k 1}=\sum_{s=1}^{S-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{L-1} \frac{A_{k, \ell, s}}{\lambda^{s+1} t_{k}^{n} n^{\alpha_{k} s+\ell+1}}+Q_{6}(k, \lambda, n)
$$

where $Q_{6}(S, k, L, \lambda, n)=O\left(1 / n^{\mu}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly in $\lambda \in a(W) \backslash W_{0}$ for every $k \in\{1, \ldots, K\}$. Finally, avoiding the unnecessary terms of the sum we finish the proof.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Combine (2.1), (3.2), (4.3), and (4.7).

## 5 Individual eigenvalues

In order to find the eigenvalues of the matrices $T_{n}(a)$, we need to solve the equations $D_{n}(a-\lambda)=0$. We start this Section by locating the zeros of $D_{n}(a-\lambda)$.

Let $W_{0}$ be a small open neighborhood of zero in $\mathbb{C}$ and $\omega_{n}:=\exp (-2 \pi i / n)$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{n}:=\left\{j \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}: a\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right) \notin W_{0}\right\} . \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that $\lambda=a\left(t_{\lambda}\right)$. Take an integer $j \in I_{n}$. Using the representations

$$
\frac{1}{t_{\lambda}^{2} a^{\prime}\left(t_{\lambda}\right)}=\frac{1}{\omega_{n}^{2 j} a^{\prime}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right)}+O\left(\left|t_{\lambda}-\omega_{n}^{j}\right|\right), \quad \frac{1}{a^{2}\left(t_{\lambda}\right)}=\frac{1}{a^{2}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right)}+O\left(\left|t_{\lambda}-\omega_{n}^{j}\right|\right)
$$

where $t_{\lambda}$ belongs to a small neighborhood of $\omega_{n}^{j}$, we see that the determinant $D_{n}(a-\lambda)$ in $(1.1)$ equals $\left(-h_{0}\right)^{n+1}$ times

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I}_{1}-\mathcal{I}_{2}+O\left(\left|\frac{t_{\lambda}-\omega_{n}^{j}}{t_{\lambda}^{n}}\right|\right)+O\left(\frac{\left|t_{\lambda}-\omega_{n}^{j}\right|}{n^{\alpha_{1}+1}}\right)+R_{1}(\lambda, n) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t_{\lambda}$ belongs to a small neighborhood of $\omega_{n}^{j}$,

$$
\mathcal{I}_{1}:=\frac{1}{t_{\lambda}^{n} \omega_{n}^{2 j} a^{\prime}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right)}, \quad \mathcal{I}_{2}:=\sum_{(k, \ell, s) \in \mathcal{L}_{\mu}} \frac{A_{k, \ell, s}}{a^{s+1}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right) t_{k}^{n} n^{\alpha_{k} s+\ell+1}}=\frac{A_{1,0,1}\left(1+Q_{8}(\lambda, n)\right)}{a^{2}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right) n^{\alpha_{1}+1}}
$$

with $Q_{8}(\lambda, n)=O\left(1 / n^{\zeta}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly with respect to $\lambda \in a(W) \backslash W_{0}$. Here $\mathcal{L}_{\mu}, A_{k, \ell, s}$, and $\zeta$ are as in Theorem 1.1. Expression (5.2) makes sense only when $t_{\lambda}$ is sufficiently close to $\omega_{n}^{j}$ and thus it is necessary to know whether there is a zero of $D_{n}(a-\lambda)$ close to $\omega_{n}^{j}$. Let $t_{\lambda}:=\rho e^{i \phi}$. It is easy to verify that $\mathcal{T}_{1}-\mathcal{T}_{2}=0$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\left(\frac{\left|a\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right)\right|^{2}\left|1+Q_{9}(n)\right| n^{\alpha_{1}+1}}{\left|A_{1,0,1} a^{\prime}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right)\right|}\right)^{1 / n} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\phi=\phi_{s}=\frac{1}{n} \arg \left(\frac{a^{2}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right)\left(1+Q_{9}(n)\right)}{A_{1,0,1} \omega_{n}^{2 j} a^{\prime}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right)}\right)-\frac{2 \pi s}{n}
$$

where $s \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$ and $Q_{9}(\lambda, n)=O\left(1 / n^{\zeta}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly with respect to $\lambda \in a(W) \backslash W_{0}$. When $n$ tends to infinity, (5.3) shows that $\rho$ remains greater than 1 and $\rho \rightarrow 1$. The function $\mathcal{I}_{1}-\mathcal{T}_{2}$ has $n$ zeros with respect to $\lambda \in \mathcal{D}(a)$ given by

$$
a\left(\rho e^{i \phi_{0}}\right), \quad \ldots, \quad a\left(\rho e^{i \phi_{n-1}}\right) .
$$

As Lemma 3.1 establishes a 1-1 correspondence between $\lambda$ and $t_{\lambda}$, the function $D_{n}(a-\lambda)$ is analytic with respect to $\lambda \in a(W) \backslash W_{0}$, that is, analytic with respect to $t_{\lambda} \in W \backslash a^{-1}\left(W_{0}\right)$. We can therefore suppose that $\mathcal{T}_{1}-\mathcal{T}_{2}$ has $n$ zeros with respect to $t_{\lambda}$ in the exterior of $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ given by

$$
z_{0}:=\rho e^{i \phi_{0}}, \quad \ldots, \quad z_{n-1}:=\rho e^{i \phi_{n-1}} .
$$

We take the function "arg" in the interval $(-\pi, \pi]$. Thus, $z_{j}=e^{i \phi_{j}}$ is the nearest zero to $\omega_{n}^{j}$. Consider the open neighbor$\operatorname{hood} E_{j}$ of $z_{j}$ sketched in Figure 4.

The boundary of $E_{j}$ is $\Gamma:=\Gamma_{1} \cup \Gamma_{2} \cup \Gamma_{3} \cup \Gamma_{4}$. We have chosen radial segments $\Gamma_{2}$ and $\Gamma_{4}$ so that their length is $1 / n^{\varepsilon}$ with $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \min \left\{1, \alpha_{1}, \gamma-\alpha_{1}\right\}\right)$ and $\gamma=\min \left\{\alpha_{j}: \alpha_{j}>\alpha_{1}\right\}$ and all the points in $\Gamma_{2}$ have the common argument $\left(\phi_{j+1}+\phi_{j}\right) / 2$, while all the points in $\Gamma_{4}$ have the common argument $\left(\phi_{j-1}+\phi_{j}\right) / 2$. As we can see in Figure 4, these points run from the unit circle $\mathbb{T}$ to $\left(1+1 / n^{\varepsilon}\right) \mathbb{T}$. Note also that $\Gamma_{1} \subset\left(1+1 / n^{\varepsilon}\right) \mathbb{T}$ and $\Gamma_{3} \in \mathbb{T}$. Recall $g_{n}$ from (5.1). We put $\operatorname{diam}\left(E_{j}\right):=\sup \left\{\left|z_{1}-z_{2}\right|: z_{1}, z_{2} \in E_{j}\right\}$.


Figure 4. The neighborhood $E_{j}$ of $z_{j}$ in the complex plane.

Theorem 5.1. Suppose $a(t)=t^{-1} h(t)$ is a symbol with properties 1 to 4 . Let $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \min \left\{1, \alpha_{1}, \gamma-\alpha_{1}\right\}\right)$ be a constant. Then, there is a family of sets $\left\{E_{j}\right\}_{j \in y_{n}}$ in $\mathbb{C}$ such that

1. $\left\{E_{j}\right\}_{j \in J_{n}}$ is a family of pairwise disjoint open sets,
2. $\operatorname{diam}\left(E_{j}\right) \leq 2 / n^{\varepsilon}$,
3. $\omega_{n}^{j} \in \partial E_{j}$,
4. $D_{n}\left(a-a\left(t_{\lambda}\right)\right)=D_{n}(a-\lambda)$ has exactly one zero in each $E_{j}$.

Proof. Assertions 1, 2, and 3 can be deduced from the above construction. We prove assertion 4 by studying the behavior of $\left|D_{n}(a-\lambda)\right|$ in dependence on $t_{\lambda} \in \Gamma$. For $t_{\lambda} \in \Gamma_{1}$ we have, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\mathcal{I}_{1}\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=\frac{1}{\left|a^{\prime}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right)\right|}\left(1+\frac{1}{n^{\varepsilon}}\right)^{-n}=\frac{\exp \left(-n^{1-\varepsilon}\right)}{\left|a^{\prime}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right)\right|}+O\left(\frac{\exp \left(-n^{1-\varepsilon}\right)}{n^{2 \varepsilon-1}}\right), \\
\left|\mathcal{T}_{2}\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=\frac{1}{n^{\alpha_{1}+1}}\left|\frac{A_{1,0,1}\left(1+Q_{8}(n)\right)}{a^{2}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right)}\right|, \\
\left|O\left(\left|\frac{t_{\lambda}-\omega_{n}^{j}}{t_{\lambda}^{n}}\right|\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=O\left(\frac{\exp \left(-n^{1-\varepsilon}\right)}{n^{\varepsilon}}\right), \quad\left|O\left(\frac{\left|t_{\lambda}-\omega_{n}^{j}\right|}{n^{\alpha_{1}+1}}\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=O\left(\frac{1}{n^{\alpha_{1}+\varepsilon+1}}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

and $\left|R_{1}\left(n, t_{\lambda}\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=O\left(1 / n^{\mu}\right)$. When $n$ goes to infinity, the absolute value of $\mathcal{T}_{2}$ decreases at polynomial speed over $\Gamma_{1}$, while the absolute values of the remaining terms in (5.2) are smaller over $\Gamma_{1}$. Thus,

$$
\left|\frac{D_{n}(a-\lambda)}{h_{0}^{n+1}}\right|_{\Gamma_{1}}=\frac{1}{n^{\alpha_{1}+1}}\left|\frac{A_{1,0,1}}{a^{2}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right)}\right|+O\left(\frac{1}{n^{\alpha_{1}+\varepsilon+1}}\right) \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty .
$$

For $t_{\lambda} \in \Gamma_{3}$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\mathcal{T}_{1}\right| \Gamma_{3}=\frac{1}{\left|a^{\prime}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right)\right|}, \quad\left|\mathcal{T}_{2}\right|_{\Gamma_{3}}=\frac{1}{n^{\alpha_{1}+1}}\left|\frac{A_{1,0,1}\left(1+Q_{8}(n)\right)}{a^{2}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right)}\right| \\
\left|O\left(\left|\frac{t_{\lambda}-\omega_{n}^{j}}{t_{\lambda}^{n}}\right|\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{3}}=O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \quad\left|O\left(\frac{\left|t_{\lambda}-\omega_{n}^{j}\right|}{n^{\alpha_{1}+1}}\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{3}}=O\left(\frac{1}{n^{\alpha_{1}+2}}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

and $\left|R_{1}\left(n, t_{\lambda}\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{3}}=O\left(1 / n^{\mu}\right)$. When $n$ goes to infinity, the modulus of $\mathcal{T}_{1}$ remains constant over $\Gamma_{3}$, while the moduli of the remaining terms in (5.2) are smaller there. Consequently,

$$
\left|\frac{D_{n}(a-\lambda)}{h_{0}^{n+1}}\right|_{\Gamma_{3}}=\frac{1}{\left|a^{\prime}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right)\right|}+O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty .
$$

As for the radial segments $\Gamma_{2}$ and $\Gamma_{4}$, we start by showing that $\mathcal{I}_{1}$ and $-\mathcal{I}_{2}$ have the same argument there. Since $z_{j}$ is a zero of $\mathcal{T}_{1}-\mathcal{I}_{2}$, we deduce that

$$
\arg \left(\frac{1}{z_{j}^{n} \omega_{n}^{2 j} a^{\prime}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right)}\right)=\arg \left(\frac{A_{1,0,1}\left(1+Q_{8}(n)\right)}{a^{2}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right) n^{\alpha_{1}+1}}\right)
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
-n \phi_{j}+\arg \left(\frac{1}{\omega_{n}^{2 j} a^{\prime}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right)}\right)=\arg \left(\frac{A_{1,0,1}\left(1+Q_{8}(n)\right)}{a^{2}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right)}\right) . \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $t_{\lambda} \in \Gamma_{2}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\arg \left(\mathcal{T}_{1}\right) & =\arg \left(\frac{1}{t_{\lambda}^{n} \omega_{n}^{2 j} a^{\prime}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right)}\right)=-\frac{n}{2}\left(\phi_{j-1}+\phi_{j}\right)+\arg \left(\frac{1}{\omega_{n}^{2 j} a^{\prime}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right)}\right) \\
& =\frac{n}{2}\left(\phi_{j}-\phi_{j-1}\right)+\arg \left(\frac{A_{1,0,1}\left(1+Q_{8}(n)\right)}{a^{2}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right)}\right) \\
& =\pi+\arg \left(\frac{A_{1,0,1}\left(1+Q_{8}(n)\right)}{a^{2}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right)}\right)=\arg \left(-\mathcal{T}_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here the third line is due to (5.4). In addition, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\left|O\left(\left|\frac{t_{\lambda}-\omega_{n}^{j}}{t_{\lambda}^{n}}\right|\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{2}}=O\left(\frac{1}{n^{\varepsilon}\left|t_{\lambda}\right|^{n}}\right), \quad\left|O\left(\frac{\left|t_{\lambda}-\omega_{n}^{j}\right|}{n^{\alpha_{1}+1}}\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{2}}=O\left(\frac{1}{n^{\alpha_{1}+\varepsilon+1}}\right),
$$

and $\left|R_{1}\left(n, t_{\lambda}\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{2}}=O\left(1 / n^{\mu}\right)$. Furthermore,

$$
\left|\frac{D_{n}(a-\lambda)}{h_{0}^{n+1}}\right|_{\Gamma_{2}}=\frac{1}{\left|t_{\lambda}^{n} a^{\prime}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right)\right|}+O\left(\frac{1}{n^{\varepsilon}\left|t_{\lambda}\right|^{n}}\right)+\frac{1}{n^{\alpha_{1}+1}}\left|\frac{A_{1,0,1}}{a^{2}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right)}\right|+O\left(\frac{1}{n^{\alpha_{1}+\varepsilon+1}}\right)
$$

over $\Gamma_{2}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The situation is similar for the segment $\Gamma_{4}$.


Figure 5. The absolute value of $D_{n}(a-\lambda) / h_{0}^{n+1}$ over $E_{j}$.

Figure 5 resumes our analysis of $\left|D_{n}(a-\lambda) / h_{0}^{n+1}\right|$. From the previous study of $\left|D_{n}(a-\lambda)\right|$ over $\Gamma$ we infer that for every sufficiently large $n$ we have

$$
\left|\mathcal{T}_{1}-\mathcal{I}_{2}\right|_{\Gamma} \geq \frac{1}{2 n^{\alpha_{1}+1}}\left|\frac{A_{1,0,1}}{a^{2}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right)}\right|
$$

and

$$
\left|O\left(\left|\frac{t_{\lambda}-\omega_{n}^{j}}{t_{\lambda}^{n}}\right|\right)+O\left(\frac{\left|t_{\lambda}-\omega_{n}^{j}\right|}{n^{\alpha_{1}+1}}\right)+R_{1}\left(n, t_{\lambda}\right)\right|_{\Gamma} \leq O\left(\frac{1}{n^{\alpha_{1}+\varepsilon+1}}\right) .
$$

Hence, by Rouché's theorem, $D_{n}(a-\lambda) /\left(-h_{0}\right)^{n+1}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{1}-\mathcal{T}_{2}$ have the same number of zeros in $E_{j}$, that is, a unique zero.

As a consequence of Theorem 5.1, we can iterate the variable $t_{\lambda}$ in the equation $D_{n}(a-\lambda)=0$, where $D_{n}(a-\lambda)$ is given by (1.1). In this fashion we find the unique eigenvalue of $T_{n}(a)$ which is located close to $a\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. The equation $D_{n}(a-\lambda)=0$ with $D_{n}(a-\lambda)$ given by (1.1) is equivalent to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{\lambda}^{-n}=\frac{A_{1,0,1} t_{\lambda}^{2} a^{\prime}\left(t_{\lambda}\right)}{a^{2}\left(t_{\lambda}\right) n^{\alpha_{1}+1}}\left(1+\frac{1}{A_{1,0,1}} \sum_{\substack{(k, \ell, s) \in \mathcal{L}_{\mu} \\(k,, s) \neq(1,0,1)}} \frac{A_{k, \ell, s}}{a^{s-1}\left(t_{\lambda}\right) t_{k}^{n} n^{\alpha_{k} s+\ell-\alpha_{1}}}+Q_{10}\left(n, t_{\lambda}\right)\right) \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q_{10}\left(n, t_{\lambda}\right)=O\left(1 / n^{\mu-\alpha_{1}-1}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly with respect to $t_{\lambda} \in W \backslash a^{-1}\left(W_{0}\right)$. Recall from Theorem 1.1 that $\gamma=\min \left\{\alpha_{j}: \alpha_{j}>\alpha_{1}\right\}$ and $\zeta=\min \left\{1, \alpha_{1}, \gamma-\alpha_{1}\right\}$. As $\mu$ is any real positive number, we can develop (5.5) with an arbitrary error bound, but to make our calculations reasonable and readable, we limit ourselves to $\mu=2 \zeta+\alpha_{1}+1$. Equation (5.5) is an implicit expression for $t_{\lambda}$. We manipulate it to obtain a few asymptotic terms for $t_{\lambda}$. Remember that $\lambda$ belongs to $\mathcal{D}(a) \backslash W_{0}$; see Figure 3. We can choose $W$ so thin that $\lambda=a\left(t_{\lambda}\right), a^{\prime}\left(t_{\lambda}\right)$, and $t_{\lambda}$ are bounded and not too close to zero. After taking the $n$th root for the main branch specified by the argument in $(-\pi, \pi]$ and expanding in (5.5),

$$
\begin{align*}
t_{\lambda_{j, n}}= & \omega_{n}^{j} n^{\left(\alpha_{1}+1\right) / n}\left(1+\sum_{m=1}^{[1+2 \zeta]} \log ^{m}\left(\frac{a^{2}\left(t_{\lambda_{j, n}}\right)}{A_{1,0,1} t_{\lambda_{j, n}}^{2} a^{\prime}\left(t_{\lambda_{j, n}}\right)}\right) \frac{1}{m!n^{m}}+Q_{11}(j, n)\right) \\
& \times\left(1-\frac{1}{A_{1,0,1}} \sum_{\substack{(k, \ell, s) \in \mathcal{L}_{\mu} \\
(k, \ell, s) \neq(1,0,1)}} \frac{A_{k, \ell, s}^{s-1}\left(t_{\left.\lambda_{j, n}\right)} t_{k}^{n} n^{\alpha_{k} s+\ell-\alpha_{1}+1}\right.}{}+Q_{12}(j, n)\right) \tag{5.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $Q_{11}$ and $Q_{12}$ are $O\left(1 / n^{2 \zeta+1}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly with respect to $j \in \mathcal{I}_{n}$. After multiplying in (5.6) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
t_{\lambda_{j, n}}= & \omega_{n}^{j} n^{\left(\alpha_{1}+1\right) / n}\left(1+\sum_{m=1}^{[1+2 \zeta]} \log ^{m}\left(\frac{a^{2}\left(t_{\lambda_{j, n}}\right)}{A_{1,0,1} t_{\lambda_{j, n}}^{2} a^{\prime}\left(t_{\lambda_{j, n}}\right)}\right) \frac{1}{m!n^{m}}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{A_{1,0,1}} \sum_{\substack{(k, \ell, s) \in \mathcal{L}_{\mu} \\
(k, \ell, s) \neq(1,0,1)}} \frac{A_{k, \ell, s}}{a^{s-1}\left(t_{\lambda_{j, n}}\right) t_{k}^{n} n^{\alpha_{k} s+\ell-\alpha_{1}+1}}+Q_{13}(j, n)\right), \tag{5.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $Q_{13}\left(n, t_{\lambda}\right)=O\left(1 / n^{2 \zeta+1}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly with respect to $t_{\lambda} \in W \backslash a^{-1}\left(W_{0}\right)$. Note that, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{\left(\alpha_{1}+1\right) / n}=\exp \left(\left(\alpha_{1}+1\right) \frac{\log n}{n}\right)=1+\left(\alpha_{1}+1\right) \frac{\log n}{n}+O\left(\frac{\log ^{2} n}{n^{2}}\right) \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, our first approximation for $t_{\lambda_{j, n}}$ is

$$
t_{\lambda_{j, n}}=\omega_{n}^{j}+Q_{14}(j, n),
$$

where $Q_{14}(j, n)=O(\log n / n)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly with respect to $j \in I_{n}$. Replacing $t_{\lambda_{j, n}}$ by this approximation in (5.7) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{\lambda_{j, n}}= & \omega_{n}^{j} n^{\left(\alpha_{1}+1\right) / n}\left(1+\sum_{m=1}^{[1+2 \zeta]} \log ^{m}\left(\frac{a^{2}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right)}{A_{1,0,1} \omega_{n}^{2 j} a^{\prime}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right)}\right) \frac{1}{m!n^{m}}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{A_{1,0,1}} \sum_{\substack{(k, \ell, s) \in \mathcal{L}_{\mu} \\
(k, \ell, s) \neq(1,0,1)}} \frac{\left.A_{k, \ell, s} a_{k}^{s a^{s-1}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right) n^{\alpha_{k} s+\ell-\alpha_{1}+1}}+R_{2}(j, n)\right)}{}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $R_{2}(j, n)=O\left(1 / n^{2 \zeta+1}\right)+O\left(\log n / n^{2}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly with respect to $j \in \mathcal{I}_{n}$.
Proof of Theorem 1.3. Inserting (5.8) in (1.2) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
t_{\lambda_{j, n}}= & \omega_{n}^{j}\left(1+\left(\alpha_{1}+1\right) \frac{\log n}{n}+\sum_{m=1}^{[1+2 \zeta]} \log ^{m}\left(\frac{a^{2}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right)}{A_{1,0,1} \omega_{n}^{2 j} a^{\prime}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right)}\right) \frac{1}{m!n^{m}}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{A_{1,0,1}} \sum_{\substack{(k, \ell, s) \in \mathcal{L}_{\mu} \\
(k, \ell, s) \neq(1,0,1)}} \frac{A_{k, \ell, s}^{n} t_{k}^{s-1}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right) n^{\alpha_{k} s+\ell-\alpha_{1}+1}}{}+Q_{15}(j, n)\right) \tag{5.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $Q_{15}(j, n)=O\left(1 / n^{2 \zeta+1}\right)+O\left(\log ^{2} n / n^{2}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly with respect to $j \in \mathcal{I}_{n}$.
Since the symbol $a$ is analytic in a small neighborhood of each $t_{\lambda_{j, n}}$, we have $\lambda_{j, n}=a\left(t_{\lambda_{j, n}}\right)=a\left(\omega_{n}^{j}+z\right)=a\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right)+$ $a^{\prime}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right) z+O\left(|z|^{2}\right)$. Thus, applying the symbol $a$ to (5.9), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{j, n}= & a\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right)+\left(\alpha_{1}+1\right) \omega_{n}^{j} a^{\prime}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right) \frac{\log n}{n} \\
& +\omega_{n}^{j} a^{\prime}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right) \sum_{m=1}^{[1+2 \zeta]} \log ^{m}\left(\frac{a^{2}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right)}{A_{1,0,1} \omega_{n}^{2 j} a^{\prime}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right)}\right) \frac{1}{m!n^{m}} \\
& -\frac{\omega_{n}^{j} a^{\prime}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right)}{A_{1,0,1}} \sum_{\substack{(k, \ell, s) \in \mathcal{L}_{u} \\
(k, \ell, s) \neq(1,0,1)}} \frac{A_{k, \ell, s} t_{k}^{-n}}{a^{s-1}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right) n^{\alpha_{k} s+\ell-\alpha_{1}+1}}+\omega_{n}^{j} a^{\prime}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right) Q_{15}(j, n)+Q_{16}(j, n),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $Q_{16}(j, n)=O\left(\log ^{2} n / n^{2}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly with respect to $j \in I_{n}$.


Figure 6. The absolute value of the difference between the eigenvalues of $T_{256}\left(t^{-1}(1-t)^{0.6}(1+t)^{0.9}\right)$ obtained with Matlab and formula (6.2). The red, blue, and green dots correspond to the approximations of (6.2) with 2, 3, and 4 terms, respectively.

## 6 Examples

In this Section we consider two particular situations for symbols with two and three singularities. In these situations we employ our formulas for $t_{\lambda_{j, n}}$ and $\lambda_{j, n}$, and with the aid of Matlab, we calculate the corresponding numerical errors.

Example 6.1. Consider the symbol $a(t)=t^{-1}(1-t)^{0.6}(1+t)^{0.9}$ with two singularities. In this case equations (1.2) and (1.3) become

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{\lambda_{j, n}}=\omega_{n}^{j} n^{1.6 / n}\left(1+\frac{1}{n} \log \left(\frac{a^{2}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right)}{A_{1,0,1} \omega_{n}^{2 j} a^{\prime}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right)}\right)-\frac{(-1)^{n} A_{2,0,1}}{A_{1,0,1} n^{1.3}}+R_{2}(j, n)\right) \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{j, n}= & a\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right)+1.6 \omega_{n}^{j} a^{\prime}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right) \frac{\log n}{n}+\frac{\omega_{n}^{j} a^{\prime}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right)}{n} \log \left(\frac{a^{2}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right)}{A_{1,0,1} \omega_{n}^{2 j} a^{\prime}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right)}\right) \\
& -\frac{(-1)^{n} A_{2,0,1} \omega_{n}^{j} a^{\prime}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right)}{A_{1,0,1} n^{1.3}}+R_{3}(j, n), \tag{6.2}
\end{align*}
$$

respectively. Here

$$
A_{1,0,1}=2^{0.9} \sin (0.6 \pi) \Gamma(1.6) / \pi, \quad A_{2,0,1}=2^{0.6} \sin (0.9 \pi) \Gamma(1.9) / \pi,
$$

and $R_{2}, R_{3}$ are $O\left(1 / n^{1.6}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly with respect to $j \in \mathcal{I}_{n}$. Table 1 shows the data, see also Figures 2 and 6 .

Example 6.2. Consider now the symbol

$$
a(t)=t^{-1}(1-t)^{0.4}\left(1-t / e^{2 i}\right)^{0.6}\left(1-t / e^{4 i}\right)^{0.7}
$$

| $n$ | 256 | 512 | 1024 | 2048 | 4096 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (6.1) with 1 term | $1.1 \times 10^{-2}$ | $6.8 \times 10^{-3}$ | $3.3 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.7 \times 10^{-3}$ | $8.4 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| (6.1) with 2 terms | $2.6 \times 10^{-3}$ | $7.9 \times 10^{-4}$ | $2.3 \times 10^{-4}$ | $7.1 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.2 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| (6.1) with 3 terms | $2.5 \times 10^{-3}$ | $7.9 \times 10^{-4}$ | $2.2 \times 10^{-4}$ | $6.6 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.9 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| (6.2) with 2 term | $1.4 \times 10^{-2}$ | $7.1 \times 10^{-3}$ | $3.5 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.7 \times 10^{-3}$ | $8.5 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| (6.2) with 3 terms | $1.6 \times 10^{-3}$ | $5.8 \times 10^{-4}$ | $2.2 \times 10^{-4}$ | $7.5 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.6 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| (6.2) with 4 terms | $1.4 \times 10^{-3}$ | $4.4 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.8 \times 10^{-4}$ | $6.0 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.0 \times 10^{-5}$ |

Table 1. The table shows the maximum error obtained with formulas (6.1) and (6.2) for the eigenvalues of the matrices $T_{n}\left(t^{-1}(1-t)^{0.6}(1+t)^{0.9}\right)$ for different values of $n$. The data was obtained by comparison with the solutions given by Matlab, taking into account only the $90 \%$ best approximated eigenvalues.
with three singularities. In this case equations (1.2) and (1.3) read

$$
\begin{align*}
t_{\lambda_{j, n}}= & \omega_{n}^{j} n^{1.4 / n}\left(1+\frac{1}{n} \log \left(\frac{a^{2}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right)}{A_{1,0,1} \omega_{n}^{2 j} a^{\prime}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right)}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{A_{2,0,1} e^{-2 n i}}{A_{1,0,1} n^{1.2}}-\frac{A_{3,0,1} e^{-4 n i}}{A_{1,0,1} n^{1.3}}+R_{2}(j, n)\right) \tag{6.3}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{j, n}= & a\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right)+1.4 \omega_{n}^{j} a^{\prime}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right) \frac{\log n}{n}+\frac{\omega_{n}^{j} a^{\prime}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right)}{n} \log \left(\frac{a^{2}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right)}{A_{1,0,1} \omega_{n}^{2 j} a^{\prime}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right)}\right) \\
& -\frac{A_{2,0,1} e^{-2 n i} \omega_{n}^{j} a^{\prime}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right)}{A_{1,0,1} n^{1.2}}-\frac{A_{3,0,1} e^{-4 n i} \omega_{n}^{j} a^{\prime}\left(\omega_{n}^{j}\right)}{A_{1,0,1} n^{1.3}}+R_{3}(j, n) \tag{6.4}
\end{align*}
$$

respectively. Here

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1,0,1}=\sin (0.4 \pi) \Gamma(1.4)\left(1-e^{-2 i}\right)^{0.6}\left(1-e^{-4 i}\right)^{0.7} / \pi, \\
& A_{2,0,1}=\sin (0.6 \pi) \Gamma(1.6)\left(1-e^{2 i}\right)^{0.4}\left(1-e^{-2 i}\right)^{0.7} /\left(\pi e^{4 i}\right), \\
& A_{3,0,1}=\sin (0.7 \pi) \Gamma(1.7)\left(1-e^{4 i}\right)^{0.4}\left(1-e^{2 i}\right)^{0.6} /\left(\pi e^{8 i}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and $R_{2}, R_{3}$ are $O\left(1 / n^{1.4}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly with respect to $j \in I_{n}$. Table 2 shows the data, see also Figure 2 .

| $n$ | 256 | 512 | 1024 | 2048 | 4096 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (6.3) with 1 term | $2.5 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.1 \times 10^{-2}$ | $6.2 \times 10^{-3}$ | $3.1 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.6 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| (6.3) with 2 terms | $1.0 \times 10^{-2}$ | $3.0 \times 10^{-3}$ | $9.0 \times 10^{-4}$ | $2.8 \times 10^{-4}$ | $9.5 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| (6.3) with 4 terms | $7.8 \times 10^{-3}$ | $2.4 \times 10^{-3}$ | $6.8 \times 10^{-4}$ | $2.3 \times 10^{-4}$ | $7.8 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| (6.4) with 2 terms | $2.6 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.2 \times 10^{-2}$ | $6.4 \times 10^{-3}$ | $3.2 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.6 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| (6.4) with 3 terms | $9.2 \times 10^{-3}$ | $2.0 \times 10^{-3}$ | $6.3 \times 10^{-4}$ | $2.1 \times 10^{-4}$ | $7.8 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| (6.4) with 5 terms | $5.7 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.8 \times 10^{-3}$ | $5.2 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.9 \times 10^{-4}$ | $7.0 \times 10^{-5}$ |

Table 2. The table shows the maximum error obtained with formulas (6.3) and (6.4) for the eigenvalues of the matrices $T_{n}\left(t^{-1}\left(1-t / e^{2 i}\right)^{0.4}\left(1-t / e^{4 i}\right)^{0.6}\left(1-t / e^{6 i}\right)^{0.7}\right)$ for different values of $n$. The data was obtained by comparison with the solutions given by Matlab, taking into account only the $90 \%$ best approximated eigenvalues.

Tables 1 and 2 reveal that the maximum error of (1.2) with one term is reduced by nearly $n / 80$ times when considering the second term; see also Figure 6.
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