

# CONTROLLED DIFFUSION PROCESSES WITH COST CONSTRAINTS\*

Onésimo Hernández–Lerma

Mathematics Department

CINVESTAV–IPN

México City

<ohernand@math.cinvestav.mx>

\*Joint work with A.F. Mendoza–Pérez and H. Jasso–Fuentes.

# Abstract.

We consider an  $n$ -dimensional controlled diffusion process with cost constraints. Under suitable assumptions, the *existence* of optimal controls is a well-known fact. In our work we go a bit further and our goal is to introduce a technique to *compute* optimal controls. To this end, we follow the Lagrange multipliers approach.

**Typical unconstrained optimal control problem (OCP)** : We are given

- A controlled system with state space  $X$ , and time-horizon  $\tau := [0, T]$ , with  $T < \infty$ ,  $T = \infty$ , or  $T$  random,
- A family  $\mathcal{U}$  of admissible controls, and
- A performance index (say, a “reward” function)  $J_0(x, \mathbf{u})$ .

Then the OCP is : Find  $\mathbf{u}^* \in \mathcal{U}$  such that

$$J_0(x, \mathbf{u}^*) = \max_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{U}} J_0(x, \mathbf{u}) \quad \forall x(0) = x \in X. \quad (1)$$

# Control problem with cost constraints :

In addition to the above consider cost functionals

$$J_i(x, \mathbf{u}) \quad \forall x \in X, \mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{U}, i = 1, \dots, N,$$

and constraint constants,  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_N$ . The cost-constrained problem is maximize  $J_0(x, \mathbf{u})$

subject to :  $J_i(x, \mathbf{u}) \leq \theta_i \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, N, x \in X, \mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{U}$ .

**Here :** We consider the  $n$ -dimensional controlled system

$$dx(t) = b(x(t), u(t))dt + \sigma(x(t))dB(t) \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad (2)$$

reward functional (=long-run average reward)

$$J_0(x, \mathbf{u}) := \liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} E_x^{\mathbf{u}} \left[ \int_0^T r(x(t), \mathbf{u}(t)) dt \right] \quad (3)$$

and ( $N = 1$ ) cost functional (=long-rung average cost)

$$J_1(x, \mathbf{u}) := \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} E_x^{\mathbf{u}} \left[ \int_0^T c(x(t), \mathbf{u}(t)) dt \right], \quad (4)$$

with cost constraint  $\theta_1 \equiv \theta \in \mathbb{R}$ .

## References

A. Arapostathis, V.S. Borkar, M.K. Ghosh (2011). *Ergodic Control of Diffusion Processes*. Cambridge University Press.

V.S. Borkar, M.K. Ghosh (1990). Controlled diffusions with constraints. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **152**, 88–108.

F. Dufour, R.H. Stockbridge (2011). On the existence of strict optimal controls for constrained, controlled Markov in continuous-time. *Stochastics*, to appear.

A.F. Mendoza-Pérez, O. Hernández-Lerma (2010). Markov control processes with pathwise constraints. *Math. Methods Oper. Res.* **71**.

T. Prieto-Rumeau, O. Hernández-Lerma (2008). Ergodic control of continuous-time Markov chains with pathwise constraints. *SIAM J. Control Optim.* **47**, 1888–1908.

## Remark.

For comparison, consider the OCP (1) and let  $\mathcal{X} \subset X$  be a set of constraints. Then we obtain a **control problem with state constraints** :

$$\text{maximize } J_0(x, \mathbf{u})$$

over all  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{U}$  such that the state process  $x(t; x, \mathbf{u})$  is in  $\mathcal{X}$  for all  $x(0) = x \in \mathcal{X}$  and all  $t \in \tau := [0, T]$ .

# References

V.S. Borkar, A. Budhiraja (2004). Ergodic control of constrained diffusions : characterization using HJB equations. *SIAM J. Control Optim.* **43**, 1463–1492. [Here,  $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a polyhedral cone.]

R. Buckdahn, D. Goreac, M. Quincampoix (2011). Stochastic optimal control and linear programming approach. *Appl. Math. Optim.* **63**, 257–276. [Here,  $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is an arbitrary compact set.]



## Remark : proof techniques.

For either cost-constrained or state-constrained problems the proof techniques are combinations of

- The direct method,
- Linear programming
- Convex Analysis,
- Dynamic programming,
- Lagrange multipliers.

# Cost-constrained controlled diffusion

## (2)–(4)

. Consider the  $n$ -dimensional controlled diffusion (2) :

$$dx(t) = b(x(t), u(t))dt + \sigma(x(t))dB(t) \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad (5)$$

with  $x(0) = x$ , and  $B(\cdot)$  a  $d$ -dimensional Brownian motion ;  
coefficients

$$b(\cdot, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^n \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, \sigma(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times d},$$

where  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  is a compact *control set*.

**Control policies** : Let  $\mathcal{P}(U)$  be the space of probability measures on  $U$  endowed with the topology of weak convergence, and let  $\mathbb{F}$  be the family of measurable functions  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow U$

( a )

$\pi(du|x)$  is a *randomized stationary policy* (a.k.a. *relaxed stationary control*) if  $\pi(\cdot|x)$  is in  $\mathcal{P}(U)$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $\pi(A|\cdot)$  is a measurable function on  $x$  for every Borel set  $A \subset U$ . We denote by  $\Pi$  the family of randomized stationary policies.

( b ) We say that  $\pi \in \Pi$  is a *deterministic stationary policy* (a.k.a. as a *strict* or *exact control*) if there exists  $f \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $\pi(\cdot|x)$  is the Dirac measure concentrated at  $f(x) \in U$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . We identify  $\mathbb{F}$  with the family of deterministic stationary policies. Note that  $\mathbb{F} \subset \Pi$ .

**Remark :** With a suitable topology,  $\Pi$  is a compact convex set, and

## Remark.

We need conditions ensuring that, for every  $\pi \in \Pi$ , the SDE (5) has a unique *strong solution* [see Assumption A] which is also *uniformly exponentially ergodic* [see Assumption B].

**Assumption A.** (Uniform Ito conditions + uniform ellipticity).

(a)  $b(x, u)$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^n \times U$ , and there exists  $K$  such that

$$\sup_{u \in U} |b(x, u) - b(y, u)| \leq K|x - y| \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

(b) There exist  $K > 0$  such that

$$|\sigma(x) - \sigma(y)| \leq K|x - y| \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

(c) *Uniform ellipticity* : There exists  $\gamma > 0$  for which the so-called *diffusion matrix*  $a(\cdot) := \sigma(\cdot)\sigma(\cdot)'$  satisfies that

$$xa(y)x' \geq \gamma|x|^2 \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

**Assumption B.** (Lyapunov condition). There exists a function  $W \geq 1$  in  $C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and constants  $\beta \geq \alpha > 0$  such that

(a)  $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} W(x) = +\infty$ , and

(b)  $L^u W(x) \leq -\alpha W(x) + \beta \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, u \in U$ ,

where, for every  $h \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $u \in U$ , and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  :

$$L^u h(x) := \sum_{i=1}^n h_{x_i}(x) b_i(x, u) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} h_{x_i, x_j}(x) a_{ij}(x) \quad (6)$$

## Remark.

(a)

For  $\pi \in \Pi$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , let

$$b_i(x, \pi) := \int_U b_i(x, u) \pi(du|x).$$

Then  $L^\pi h(x)$  is defined as in (6) with  $b_i(x, \pi)$  in lieu of  $b_i(x, u)$ .

(b) Under Assumptions A and B, for every  $\pi \in \Pi$ , the corresponding solution  $x(\cdot) \equiv x^\pi(\cdot)$  of (5) is a Markov process, which is positive recurrent with a unique invariant probability measure  $\mu_\pi$  such that

$$\mu_\pi(W) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} W(y) \mu_\pi(dy) < \infty.$$

(c) Let  $B_W(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be the normed linear space of measurable functions  $v : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with finite  $W$ -norm defined as

Let

$$\mu(v) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v(y) \mu(dy).$$

**(d)** Under Assumptions A and B, for every  $\pi \in \Pi$ , the state process  $x(\cdot) \equiv x^\pi(\cdot)$  is *uniformly  $W$ -exponentially ergodic*, which means that there exist positive constants  $C$  and  $\delta$  such that

$$\sup_{\pi \in \Pi} |E_x^\pi v(x(t)) - \mu_\pi(v)| \leq C e^{-\delta t} \|v\|_W W(x) \quad (7)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $v \in B_W(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , and  $t \geq 0$ .

For every  $\pi \in \Pi$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , consider the long-run average reward  $J_0(x, \pi)$  in (3), i.e.,

$$J_0(x, \pi) := \liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T E_x^\pi [r(x(t), \pi)] dt,$$

where

$$r(x, \pi) := \int_U r(x, u) \pi(du|x),$$

and  $r(x, f) = r(x, f(x))$  if  $\pi \equiv f$  is in  $\mathbb{F}$ . Then, by the exponential ergodicity (7), it is evident that  $J_0(x, \pi)$  is a *constant*  $\bar{r}(\pi)$  independent of  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , where

$$\bar{r}(\pi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} r(y, \pi) \mu_\pi(dy),$$

i.e.

$$J_0(x, \pi) \equiv \bar{r}(\pi) \quad \forall \pi \in \Pi, x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (8)$$

Similarly, the cost functional in (4), that is

$$J_1(x, \pi) := \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T E_x^\pi [c(x(t), \pi)] dt,$$

is such that

$$J_1(x, \pi) \equiv \bar{c}(\pi) \quad \forall \pi \in \Pi, x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (9)$$



with  $\bar{c}(\pi) := \int c(y, \pi) \mu_\pi(dy)$ , and  $c(y, \pi) := \int_U c(y, u) \pi(du|y)$ .

Consider the **constrained problem**  $CP_\theta$  :

$$\text{maximize } J_0(x, \pi)$$

$$\text{subject to : } J_1(x, \pi) \leq \theta \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \pi \in \Pi.$$

By (7)–(8), we can express  $CP_\theta$  as

$$\text{maximize } \bar{r}(\pi)$$

$$\text{subject to : } \bar{c}(\pi) \leq \theta, \pi \in \Pi.$$

## Assumption C.

The constraint constant  $\theta$  is in  $(\theta_{\min}, \theta_{\max})$ , where

$$\theta_{\min} := \inf_{\pi \in \Pi} \bar{c}(\pi) \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_{\max} := \sup_{\pi \in \Pi} \bar{c}(\pi).$$

Let  $V(\theta)$  be the optimal value of  $CP_{\theta}$ , i.e.,

$$V(\theta) := \sup\{\bar{r}(\pi) : \bar{c}(\pi) \leq \theta, \pi \in \Pi\}$$

## The Lagrange multipliers approach

For every  $\Lambda \leq 0$  consider the reward rate function

$$r_\Lambda(x, u) := r(x, u) + (c(x, u) - \theta) \cdot \Lambda.$$

The corresponding long-run average reward

$$J_{r_\Lambda}(x, \pi) := \liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} E_x^\pi \left[ \int_0^T r_\Lambda(x(t), \pi) dt \right]$$

satisfies that

$$J_{r_\Lambda}(x, u) = J_0(x, \pi) + (J_1(x, \pi) - \theta) \cdot \Lambda.$$

## Assumption D.

(a)  $r(x, u)$  and  $c(x, u)$  are continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^n \times U$ , and locally Lipschitz in  $x$ , uniformly in  $u \in U$ ; that is, for each  $R > 0$ , there exists a constant  $K(R)$  such that

$$\sup_{u \in U} |r(x, u) - r(y, u)| \leq K(R)|x - y| \quad \forall |x|, |y| \leq R,$$

and similarly for  $c(x, u)$ .

(b) The squared functions  $r(x, u)^2$  and  $c(x, u)^2$  are in  $B_W(\mathbb{R}^n)$  uniformly in  $U$ ; that is, there exists  $M > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{u \in U} r(x, u)^2 \leq MW(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{u \in U} c(x, u)^2 \leq MW(x)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

## Theorem.

Suppose that the Assumptions A, B, C, D are satisfied.

**(a)** For each  $\Lambda \leq 0$ , there exists a solution  $(\rho(\Lambda), h_\Lambda)$ , with  $\rho(\Lambda) \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $h_\Lambda \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap B_W(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , of the HJB equation

$$\rho(\Lambda) = \max_{u \in U} [r_\Lambda(x, a) + L^u h_\Lambda(x)] \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (10)$$

**(b)**  $V(\theta) = \min_{\Lambda \leq 0} \rho(\Lambda) = \rho(\Lambda_0)$  for some  $\Lambda_0 \leq 0$ .

**(c)** Suppose that there exists  $\Lambda \leq 0$  and  $\hat{\pi} \in \Pi$  satisfying

$$\bar{c}(\hat{\pi}) = \theta \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{r}_\Lambda(\hat{\pi}) = \rho(\Lambda),$$

with  $\rho(\Lambda)$  as in (a). Then  $\hat{\pi}$  is an optimal policy for  $CP_\theta$ , i.e.

$$\bar{r}(\hat{\pi}) = V(\theta).$$

Further, if  $f_\Lambda \in \mathbb{F}$  attains the maximum in the r.h.s. of (10) and  $\bar{c}(f_\Lambda) = \theta$ , then  $f_\Lambda$  is a deterministic (or exact or strict) optimal policy for  $CP_\theta$ .

**(d)** Let  $\rho(\Lambda)$  and  $f_\Lambda$  be as above, and suppose that  $\Lambda \mapsto \rho(\Lambda)$  is differentiable at some  $\Lambda < 0$ . Then

$$\rho'(\Lambda) = \bar{c}(f_\Lambda) - \theta.$$

In particular, if  $\Lambda < 0$  is a critical point of  $\rho(\cdot)$ , then  $f_\Lambda$  is an optimal policy for  $CP_\theta$ , and part (b) holds with  $\Lambda_0 = \Lambda$ .

**(e)** Summarizing : If  $\rho(\cdot)$  is differentiable at some  $\Lambda < 0$ , then the following statements are equivalent.

**(1)**  $f_\Lambda$  is an optimal policy for  $CP_\theta$  and  $\rho(\Lambda) = V(\theta)$ ;

**(2)**  $\bar{c}(f_\Lambda) = \theta$ ;

**(3)**  $\Lambda$  is a critical point of  $\rho(\cdot)$ .

**(f)** In addition, assume that the mapping  $\Lambda \mapsto \bar{c}(f_\Lambda)$  is continuous on the interval  $(-\infty, 0)$ . Then the function  $\rho(\cdot)$  is differentiable on  $(-\infty, 0)$ .

**(g)** [What happens at  $\Lambda = 0$ ?] If  $\bar{c}(f_0) \leq \theta$ , then  $f_0$  is an optimal policy for  $CP_\theta$ , and (b) holds at  $\Lambda_0 = 0$ , i.e.,

$$V(\theta) = \min_{\Lambda \leq 0} \rho(\Lambda) = \rho(0).$$

For an example and further details see :

- A.F. Mendoza–Pérez, H. Jasso–Fuentes, O. Hernández–Lerma, *The Lagrange approach to ergodic control of diffusions with cost constraints*. Submitted to *Optimization*.



**THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!**